# School Admissions 2008

## Consultation Response Form

The closing date for this consultation is: 2 October 2008 Your comments must reach us by that date.



THIS FORM IS NOT INTERACTIVE. If you wish to respond electronically please use the online or offline response facility available on the Department for Children, Schools and Families e-consultation website (http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/consultations).

The information you provide in your response will be subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and Environmental Information Regulations, which allow public access to information held by the Department. This does not necessarily mean that your response can be made available to the public as there are exemptions relating to information provided in confidence and information to which the Data Protection Act 1998 applies. You may request confidentiality by ticking the box provided, but you should note that neither this, nor an automatically-generated e-mail confidentiality statement, will necessarily exclude the public right of access.

Please tick if you want us	to keep your response confidential.
Name	Chris Goodey
Organisation (if applicable)	Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education (CSIE)
Address:	New Redland Building Coldharbour Lane Frenchay Bristol BS16 1QU

If your enquiry is related to the policy content of the consultation you can contact Arun Arul, Team Leader in the School Admissions Team on 020 7925 5277.

e-mail: review.admissions@dcsf.gsi.gov.uk

If you have a query relating to the consultation process you can contact the Consultation Unit on:

Telephone: 01928 794888

Fax: 01928 794 113

e-mail: consultation.unit@dcsf.gsi.gov.uk

Parent Parent **Local Authority** Governor National Local Governor Representative Representative Group Group Faith School Headteacher/teacher Organisation x Other (Please Specify) Please Specify: Voluntary organisation

Please mark an X in the box below that best describes you as a respondent.

#### **CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM**

We welcome responses to these questions by completing the tick boxes and including additional comments in the corresponding text box (please attach additional sheets where necessary).

The following questions relate to the proposals outlined in the corresponding sections within the School Admissions Consultation Summary Document.

Section 2. Putting parents and children at the centre of the system 2.1 Improving the application and allocation process for school places

Q1 Do you support these proposals, such as requiring parents to only submit applications for school places to their home local authority, as a way of improving the application process for parents?

x Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
	uisagiee	
Comments:		

admissions? x Strongly Neither agree nor Agree agree disagree Strongly Disagree disagree Comments: Q3 Do you support the proposal for local authorities to coordinate admissions all year round? x Strongly agree Neither agree nor Agree disagree Strongly Disagree disagree Comments:

Q2 Do you support more standardisation across the country for primary school

### 2.2 New local consultation process

Q4 Do you agree that consultation on admission arrangements should take place once every three years (unless changes are made to the arrangements in the meantime) instead of every year as is the case now?
Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree  Disagree x Strongly disagree
Comments:
Q5 Do you think it should be left up to admission authorities to decide which local community groups, beyond parents, they consult with?
Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree  Disagree x Strongly disagree

Comments:		
2.3 Admission Forums  Q6 Do you think that the Forums will improve the	nese changes to the ma	embership and role of Admission
Strongly agree  Disagree	x Agree  Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
Comments:	ould make the establis	hmont of an Admission Forum
Q7 Do you think we sh voluntary?	ould make the establis	hment of an Admission Forum
Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree  X Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree

2.4 School Admission Appeals	
2.4.1 Infant class size appeals.	
Q8 Do you agree with the proposal to appoint reviewer who can assess whether an infant clasuccessful and provide advice to parents acco	ass size appeal is likely to be
Strongly Agree	Neither agree nor x disagree
Disagree Strongly disagree	
Comments	

2.4.2 Ensuring transparency and reducing burdens on popular schools

Q9 Do you agree with the concept of admission appeals being administered by an independent body? We would also welcome views on other options for improving the appeals process for parents and schools.

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	
O a resum a resta		
Comments		
O10 Do you think that	anneal nanels should be	e required to consider the
	n arrangements when c	
x Strongly	Agree	Neither agree nor
^ agree		disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	
Comments:		
Comments.		

### 2.5 Information for parents

Q11 Do you think that the new guidance about information for parents in Appendix 4 of the draft revised Schools Admission Code is clear?

Strongly	Agree	x Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	<u> </u>
_	alougioc	
Comments		
O12 Do you think that	those changes will impr	ove information to parents? We
would welcome views		ove information to parents? We nents to the information provided by
	chools you reer could fur	
Strongly agree	Agree	x Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	
Comments	<u> </u>	
Comments:		

2.6 Ensuring fair and lawful admission arrangements - new duty on local authorities to report on admission arrangements in their area

Q13 Do you agree that the local authority repo		is being required for inclusion in
Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly disagree	X disagree nor disagree
Comments		
	t the new role for the Sch	nools Adjudicator will improve and admissions legislation?
Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
Comments:		

(England) Regulations 2009 will enable the Schools Adjudicator to undertake his role effectively? Strongly x Neither agree nor disagree Agree agree Strongly Disagree disagree Comments Q16 Do you think there are other measures we could take to improve the effectiveness of the Schools Adjudicator's role? Strongly x Neither agree nor disagree Agree agree Strongly Disagree disagree Comments:

Q15 Do you think the draft School Admissions (Admission Arrangements)

## 2.8 Service families

	•		e Armed Forces have s ce September 2007?	seen improvements in the
	Strongly agree Disagree		Agree Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
Comme	ents			
require		ol Adı		ound meeting the andling applications from
	Strongly agree Disagree		Agree Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree

Comments:		
Q19 Do you think the	re are aspects of the adm	nission process we can improve
further for families in	the Armed Forces? If so,	, how?
Strongly agree	Agree Strongly	X disagree nor disagree
Disagree	disagree	
Comments:		

# <u>Section 3. Fair access for all children – improvements to Fair Access Protocols</u>

Q20 Do you agree with the extended guidelines and further detail included in the draft revised School Admissions Code about the content and operation of Fair Access Protocols?

Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
	placing children with cha	the draft revised School Illenging behaviour in suitable
Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly	Neither agree nor disagree
	<sup>*</sup> disagree	
Comments		
See our response to	4.7	

## Section 4. Other changes

4.1 Supporting the ethos of the school

	at the suggested ethos st chool Admissions Code is	atement set out in paragraph 2.31 sacceptable?
Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly disagree	X disagree
Comments		
applying for a school	place to indicate that they	should be able to ask parents y support the ethos of the school ia to parents who are willing to do
Strongly	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	x disagree	

4.2 School sixth forms

Q24 Do you think the guidelines in the draft revised School Admissions Code about the new rights for young people to express a preference are clear?			
Strongly agree  Disagree	Agree Strongly disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	
Comments			
Code are clear about t	he rights of parents and dmission authority and t	vised School Admission Appeals young people to appeal about a the procedure that admission	
Strongly	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	
Disagree	Strongly disagree		
Comments:			

### 4.3 New schools

	2003? We welcome co	omments on the process for setting
Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	
Comments:		
4.4 Published Admissio		
		ent to publish statutory proposals to admission number is helpful?
Strongly agree	Agree	X Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	
Comments		

aphs 1.20 to love the
agree nor
al contributions
agree nor

#### 4.6 Banding arrangements

Q30 Do you agree wi	th the three	reference groups	s used for	banding
arrangements?				
9				

Strongly agree	Agree	X Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree	Strongly disagree	
Comments:		

#### 4.7 General Comments

Q31 In what ways do these proposals contribute to achieving fair access to educational opportunities for all children from all social groups, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds and those with disabilities?

#### Comments:

It is not clear why disability should be a discrete question, since the proposals apply to all children. It seems that the Department is, rightly, acknowledging the continued tacit picking and choosing of disabled children by mainstream schools. Head teachers have informal ways of letting parents know that their disabled child will not be welcome in the school, with the result that the parents retreat; only a handful will have the nerve or emotional resources to pursue their legal right to a choice of mainstream through the SEN Tribunal. The Department is quite right to be alert to this, and we therefore suggest that all documentation and communication on admissions contains a footnoted reference to the Disability Discrimination Act and the duty on schools to make reasonable adjustments. The Department's good intentions in this respect need to be made clear; in any fair access scheme all children, with whatever level or type of disability, are considered appropriate recipients of "fairness". Since

there is this den facto category of exclusion which the Department is sensitive to and which goes against the spirit of the law, then it is the Department's duty to acknowledge it explicitly, in order to address it. This will reduce the level of stress and extra work affecting disabled children and their parents as far as possible to the average level undergone by non-disabled ones.

Q32 Please provide any further comments on the draft revised School Admissions Code or draft revised School Admission Appeals Code here, clearly stating which Code your comments refer to.

Comments:

Q33 Please provide any comments on the draft Regulations here, clearly stating which of the five sets of Regulations are being referred to.

Comments:

acknowledge individual responses unless you	
Please acknowledge this reply	
Here at the Department for Children, Schools research on many different topics and consultation us, would it be alright if we were to contact to research or to send through consultation defined to the consultation of the consultation of the consultation defined to the consultation of t	ations. As your views are valuable you again from time to time either
Yes	No

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to

All UK national public consultations are required to conform to the following standards:

- 1. Consult widely throughout the process, allowing a minimum of 12 weeks for written consultation at least once during the development of the policy.
- 2. Be clear about what your proposals are, who may be affected, what questions are being asked and the timescale for responses.
- 3. Ensure that your consultation is clear, concise and widely accessible.
- 4. Give feedback regarding the responses received and how the consultation process influenced the policy.
- 5. Monitor your department's effectiveness at consultation, including through the use of a designated consultation co-ordinator.
- 6. Ensure your consultation follows better regulation best practice, including carrying out a Regulatory Impact Assessment if appropriate.

Further information on the Code of Practice can be accessed through the Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Website: http://www.berr.gov.uk/files/file44364.pdf

Phil Turner - DCSF consultation Co-Ordinator 01928 794304

#### Thank you for taking time to respond to this consultation.

Completed questionnaires and other responses should be sent to the address shown below by 2 October 2008

Consultation Unit First Floor Castle View House East Lane, Runcorn WA7, 2GJ

Send by e-mail to: <a href="mailto:review.admissions@dcsf.gsi.gov.uk">review.admissions@dcsf.gsi.gov.uk</a>